Journal of Oncology Research and Therapy

Ofri A, et al. J Oncol Res Ther 7: 10126. www.doi.org/10.29011/2574-710X.10126 www.gavinpublishers.com

OPEN BACCESS



Research Article

Older Breast Cancer in Australia: Tumour Characteristics of Screened Versus Symptomatic Breast Cancers

Dr Adam Ofri^{1,2*}, BMed MS FRACS, Nazim Bhimani³, MBiostat, Bsc (Adv Sc)(Hons), Dr Sanjay Warrier^{4,5}, BSc (Med), MBBS MS FRACS

¹Department of General Surgery, St Vincent's Hospital, Darlinghurst, Australia

²St Vincent's Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

³Department of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery, Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, Australia

⁴Department of Surgery, Chris O'Brien Lifehouse, 119-143 Missenden Rd, Camperdown Australia

⁵Royal Prince Alfred Hospital Institute of Academic Surgery, Camperdown, Australia

*Corresponding Author: Adam Ofri, St Vincent's Private Hospital, Darlinghurst NSW 2010, Australia. Email: adamofri@gmail. com

Citation: Ofri A, Bhimani N, Warrier S (2022) Older Breast Cancer in Australia: Tumour Characteristics of Screened Versus Symptomatic Breast Cancers. J Oncol Res Ther 7: 10126. DOI: 10.29011/2574-710X.10126

Received Date: 08 March, 2022; Accepted Date: 11 March, 2022; Published Date: 14 March, 2022

Abstract

Background: Breast cancer is the most common non-skin cancer in Australia, affecting 1 in 7 women by the age of 85. The Australian 2020 projected incidence of breast cancer in the older population, 70 years or greater, is over 6500. This is almost a third of the entire projected incidence of over 20,000. BreastScreen Australia invites women aged 50-74 years of age for biennial screening; however, a significant proportion of Australian women continue screening well beyond this. We have evaluated the tumour characteristics of older breast cancer patients - comparing symptomatic to screen detected patients. **Methods:** This was a retrospective study of data from the BreastSurgANZ Quality Audit (BQA) between 1 January 2001 and 31 December 2019. Female patients aged ≥ 70 at diagnosis were included only. Exclusion occurred for incompletely recorded cases. Patients were then divided based on means of detection - either screen detected (Group A) or symptomatic (Group B). Results: From 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2019, 34,258 patients were appropriately reported in the BQA. There were 11,021 in Group A and 23,237 in Group B. DCIS was more prevalent in Group A (16.83% versus 6.49%, p = <.001). T stage distribution was statistically different, with higher T stages for Group B, p = <.001. IDC sub-type distribution varied between the two groups, p = <.001. IDC Grade 3 and lymphovascular invasion were more common in Group B (p = <.001). Hormonal status was statistically different, with Group B having greater rates of TNBC and HER2+ cancers compared to Group A (12.99% versus 7.10%, p = <.001 and 4.45% versus 2.55%; respectively, p = <.001). Conclusion: This is the first BQA review of older breast cancer tumour characteristics, comparing screen to symptomatic patients. As hypothesized, screen detected cancers were smaller and earlier stage, compared to symptomatic patients. Tumour biology was statistically less favourable in Group B being higher grade, and greater rates of lymphovascular invasion, TNBC and HER2+ cancers.

Keywords: Older breast cancer; Screen; Symptomatic; Axillary surgery

Introduction

ISSN: 2574-710X

Breast cancer is the most common non-skin cancer in Australia, affecting 1 in 7 women by the age of 85. The Australian

2020 projected incidence of breast cancer in the older population, 70 years or greater, is over 6500: almost a third of the entire projected incidence of over 20,000. This mirrors international data that 30% of new breast cancer diagnosis occurs in the older population [1,2]. In Australia, the average life expectancy was 83.5 years in 2020. Landmark studies have encouraged a more selective

J Oncol Res Ther, an open access journal

approach to Older Breast Cancer (OBC) management [3-5]. The Society of Surgical Oncology (SSO) and the American College of Surgeons have made recommendations regarding reducing rates of Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy (SLNB) and Radiotherapy (RT) in the older population, respectively. However, this has been perceived as unreasonable by some [6].

The current Australian screening program extends from 50-74 years of age for those with a normal risk of breast cancer patients. Patients over the age of 74 years are eligible for ongoing BreastScreen attendance however are not contacted biennially with reminder letters. Some patients are recommended by their surgeons to have yearly extended screening times due to previous breast cancer diagnosis. Others privately fund their own yearly screening due to their concern of breast cancer, coupled with their high quality of life. There is limited data to date showing the current rates of screening for OBC patients in Australia. There is no published Australian data comparing OBC patients who are symptomatic, versus those who were detected on screening.

Breast cancer in older patients have a higher expression of positive prognostic biological factors [7,8]. This is concordant with the clinical observation of less aggressive tumours in the elderly compared to the young [9]. Studies have shown screen-detected breast cancers are more biologically favourable, compared to symptomatic patients [10,11]. Key areas of difference include tumour size, histological grade, and hormonal status. In these studies, older patients are typically under-represented. The overall opinion that OBC is less aggressive has failed to assess the differences in the tumour biology of screen-detected cancers versus symptomatic. We performed a retrospective review of the BreastSurgANZ Quality Audit (BQA) to review the tumour biology of OBC patients, comparing screen-detected to symptomatic.

Patients and Methods

The BQA was formerly known as the National Breast Cancer Audit. Initially voluntary, it is now a mandatory aspect of BreastSurgANZ membership to ensure a quality assurance service for surgeons who treat breast cancers. The audit collects data on the surgical care of early and locally advanced breast cancer and ductal carcinoma in situ. The BQA database currently contains over 175,000 episodes of breast cancer (capturing 90% of breast cancer cases in Australia and New Zealand), with over

300 surgeons contributing data each year. The Register records de-identified data of patient demographics, means of diagnosis, surgeries performed, pathology data and adjuvant therapies.

The BQA was reviewed to identify all older patients (aged ≥ 70 years) diagnosed with Ductal Carcinoma In-Situ (DCIS) or invasive breast cancer from 1st January 2001 to 31st December 2019. Prospectively collected data on all older patients, including methods of diagnosis and cancer characteristics were retrospectively reviewed. Breast cancer characteristics were reviewed based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) T-stage. Male patients and those with incompletely recorded data were excluded from the study. Comparison was made between screen-detected breast cancer patients (Group A) and symptomatic patients (Group B).

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata (Version 17; College Station, TX), including Z (normal) test for group comparisons, and the Chi-square test for independence to compare distributions between the groups and Z (normal) test for two.

Results

From 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2019, 34258 patients were appropriately reported in the BQA. There were 11021 (32.17%) screen-detected patients (Group A) and 23237 (67.83%) symptomatic presentations (Group B). The age of patients differed between the two groups; Group A patients were on average younger (74.04 \pm 3.92 years) compared to Group B patients (78.53 \pm 6.01 years).

DCIS was more prevalent in Group A (16.83% versus 6.49%, p = <.001). This was expected, given the working hypothesis that screening detects early parenchymal changes, especially in the older population. Associatively, T stage distribution was statistically different, with higher T stage more frequent for Group B, p = <.001. Further differences were encountered as shown in Table 1. Breast cancer sub-type distribution was varied between the two groups, p = <.001. Histological Grade 3 and LVI were more prevalent in Group B (p = <.001). Hormonal status was statistically different, with Group B having greater rates of Triple Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC) and HER2+ cancers compared to Group A (12.99% versus 7.10%, p = <.001 and 4.45% versus 2.55%; respectively, p = <.001) Table 1.

Citation: Ofri A, Bhimani N, Warrier S (2022) Older Breast Cancer in Australia: Tumour Characteristics of Screened Versus Symptomatic Breast Cancers. J Oncol Res Ther 7: 10126. DOI: 10.29011/2574-710X.10126

	Screen detected (Group A)	Symptomatic (Group B)	P value
No of patients	11 021 (32.17%)	23 237 (67.83%)	N/A
Mean age, SD	74.04, 3.92	78.53, 6.01	N/A
T stage DCIS T1 T2 T3 T4	1855 (16.83%) 6969 (63.23%) 1868 (16.95%) 237 (2.15%) 92 (0.83%)	1508 (6.49%) 9875 (42.50%) 9346 (40.22%) 1769 (7.61%) 655 (2.82%)	< 0.001
Cancer Subtypes IDC of NST ILC Mucinous Other invasive of mixed type Other neoplasm Unknown Tubular Basal-like Medullary	6639 (73.22%) 1325 (14.61%) 284 (3.13%) 260 (2.87%) 212 (2.34%) 94 (1.04%) 207 (2.28%) 32 (0.35%) 14 (0.15%)	15431 (72.96%) 2996 (14.16%) 800 (3.78%) 690 (3.26%) 659 (3.12%) 217 (1.03%) 158 (0.75%) 152 (0.72%) 48 (0.23%)	< 0.001 < 0.003
Grade 1 2 3 Unknown	2650 (28.91%) 4603 (50.22%) 1671 (18.23%) 242 (2.64%)	3414 (15.77%) 10133 (46.81%) 7118 (32.89%) 980 (4.53%)	< 0.001
<u>LVI</u> Present Absent Unknown	1336 (14.58%) 7360 (80.30%) 470 (5.13%)	6029 (27.85%) 13842 (63.95%) 1774 (8.20%)	< 0.001
Hormonal status ER/PR+, HER2- ER+, PR/HER2- PR+, ER/HER2- HER2+ TNBC	6627 (80.15%) 810 (9.80%) 33 (0.40%) 211 (2.55%) 587 (7.10%)	13260 (70.65%) 2147 (11.44%) 88 (0.47%) 836 (4.45%) 2438 (12.99%)	< 0.001

SD: Standard Deviation; DCIS: Ductal Carcinoma In-Situ; LVI: Lymphovascular Invasion; IDC: Invasive Ductal Carcinoma; NST: No specific type; ILC: Invasive Lobular Carcinoma; ER: Oestrogen receptor; PR: Progesterone Receptor; TNBC: Triple Negative Breast Cancer.

Table 1: Tumour characteristics of Older Breast Cancer patients diagnosed with Breast Cancer.

Discussion

As patients age, comorbidities can develop, increasing the complexity in managing the health care of patients. The term frailty has been used to describe the generalised diminished physiological reserve and increased stress-related vulnerability affected the elderly [12]. Patient frailty strongly correlates to morbidity and mortality associated with surgical pathology management [13]. Given the variability in the health status of older patients, they become under-represented in clinical trials. This only leads to further difficulties with treatment decision-making due to the lack of appropriate evidence. The recent suggestion of de-escalation of treatment in older patients is understandable, given that some patients have a hypothesised short life expectancy. However, prior to determining whether we should offer or withhold a treatment, we should ensure that we have thoroughly evaluated OBC patients and their tumour biology.

Histological tumour grade is related to the degree of tumour tissue differentiation and is calculated by the Nottingham Grading System (NGS). The NGS is recommended by multiple international bodies and is derived from the evaluation of three morphological features - nuclear pleomorphism, mitotic count and degree of tubule or gland formation [14]. The prognostic impact of histological grade has been well studied, with evidence that grade is as important a prognostic factor as lymph node stage [15,16]. It is no surprise that the 8th edition of the AJCC has now included histological grade, impacting upon a patients defined stage of breast cancer [17]. Histological grade has been shown to provide significant prognostic information on chemotherapy benefit as well as patterns of survival, including BCSS, DFS and OS [15,18]. Stage II Grade 1 cancers have been shown to have a < 10% event risk at 10 years; however, Stage II Grade 2 or 3 cancers have a > 10% risk of event in 5-10 years [19]. Grade 3 cancers are more likely to recur, with metastasis occurring within 8 years [15]. Our study has shown that symptomatic patients have a statistically higher rate of histological Grade 3 breast cancers, compared to those that were screen detected. Almost 80% of symptomatic patients present with either Grade 2 or 3 cancers, a far less favourable tumour biology than Grade 1.

Lymphovascular invasion is defined as the presence of malignant tumour cells in lymphatic or blood vessels. Initial reports discounted the relevance of LVI in breast cancer, thought to be associated with lymphangiogenesis or immune response. Recent evidence is suggestive of a highly proliferative cancer [20]. As an independent factor, LVI is indicated to negatively impact local recurrence rates, distant relapse, and overall survival [21,22]. Recent studies have also drawn attention to LVI's impact on survival after neoadjuvant chemotherapy - a potential prognosticator superior to pathological complete response [23-25]. In our study, the presence of LVI was statistically higher in

symptomatic patients (27.85% versus 14.58%); comparable to previously reported rates of LVI presence of 23-24.3% [9,22]. This indicates a protective-effect of screen-detected cancers, when compared to symptomatic tumours.

IDC no specific type (NST) is the most common type of IDC, accounting for 40-75% of all mammary invasive carcinomas [26]. Invasive Lobular Carcinoma (ILC) is the second major sub-type of invasive mammary carcinoma, constituting approximately 5-15% of invasive carcinomas. Tubular and medullary carcinomas are rare, well-differentiated breast carcinomas most common in older women. Nodal involvement is similar, occurring in approximately 10-20% of cases [27]. Both are associated with a good prognosis; however, prognosis is significantly worse in the context of mixed tumours. Our subtype distribution is in keeping with the literature; with elevated mucinous rates and depressed medullary rates, as expected in an older population [28].

Receptor status has significant impact in differing clinical outcome for all breast cancer patients [29]. When comparing older patients to younger patients, there are greater rates of overexpression of ER and PR, with under expression of HER2. This receptor expression difference correlates with a comparative reduction in the level of tumour biology aggressiveness. Our distribution rate of HER2 cancers (Group A 2.55%, Group B 4.45%) were significantly lower than in the literature, 14-15% [22,30]. Group B's rate of TNBC of 12.99% was comparable to reported rates of $\sim 12\%$ [22,31]. Screen detected breast cancers in older patients have a significantly elevated frequency of ER \pm PR positivity (over 90%), resulting in a more favourable tumour biology when compared to older symptomatic cancers; and therefore, even greater favourability when compared to their younger cohort.

Conclusion

In the current international stance of de-escalating treatment of breast cancer in the elderly, it is pivotal to ensure we are not providing sub-standard care for patients. It is reasonable to recommend a reduction in an intervention when the time to efficacy is greater than the patient's likely survival. However not all OBC patients are equal. There are significant risk differences, starting with their means of diagnosis. We have shown that symptomatic patients have statistically significant different tumour biology compared to screen-detected patients. These differences should be further assessed, and mode of diagnosis should be considered prior to determining de-escalation of OBC management.

Weakness

An obvious shortcoming of our study is the inability to review these tumour characteristics with recurrence and survival. However, there is significant literature available reporting these rates, as discussed above. The BQA is a surgeon-reported audit,

Citation: Ofri A, Bhimani N, Warrier S (2022) Older Breast Cancer in Australia: Tumour Characteristics of Screened Versus Symptomatic Breast Cancers. J Oncol Res Ther 7: 10126. DOI: 10.29011/2574-710X.10126

thus the onus of updating patient recurrence and mortality is on the surgeon. Fortunately, for patients, mortality from breast cancer in Australia is low; from a database perspective however, this results in an inability to update patient mortality from other causes. In Australia, a patient's death in a hospital or nursing home, reported to their general practitioner, not to their affiliate surgeon. Therefore, a proposed direction for the BQA would be the incorporation of the National Death Index (NDI), a Commonwealth database recording deaths and their causes.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Elomrani F, Zine M, Afif M, L'annaz S, Ouziane I, et al. (2015) Management of early breast cancer in older women: from screening to treatment. Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press) 7: 165-171.
- Hu K, Ding P, Wu Y, Tian W, Pan T, et al. (2019) Global patterns and trends in the breast cancer incidence and mortality according to sociodemographic indices: an observational study based on the global burden of diseases. BMJ Open 9: e028461.
- Hughes KS, Schnaper LA, Bellon JR, Cirrincione CT, Berry DA, et al. (2013) Lumpectomy plus tamoxifen with or without irradiation in women age 70 years or older with early breast cancer: long-term follow-up of CALGB 9343. J Clin Oncol 31: 2382-2387.
- Martelli G, Miceli R, Daidone MG, Vetrella G, Cerrotta AM, et al. (2011) Axillary dissection versus no axillary dissection in elderly patients with breast cancer and no palpable axillary nodes: results after 15 years of follow-up. Ann Surg Oncol 18: 125-133.
- Kunkler IH, Williams LJ, Jack WJL, Cameron DA, Dixon JM, et al. (2015) Breast-conserving surgery with or without irradiation in women aged 65 years or older with early breast cancer (PRIME II): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 16: 266-273.
- Shumway DA, Griffith KA, Sabel MS, Jones RD, Forstner JM, et al. (2017) Surgeon and Radiation Oncologist Views on Omission of Adjuvant Radiotherapy for Older Women with Early-Stage Breast Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 24: 3518-3526.
- Syed BM, Green AR, Paish EC, Soria D, Garibaldi J, et al. (2013) Biology of primary breast cancer in older women treated by surgery: with correlation with long-term clinical outcome and comparison with their younger counterparts. Br J Cancer 108: 1042-1051.
- Diab SG, Clark GM, Osborne CK, Libby A, Allred DC, et al. (1999) Tumor characteristics and clinical outcome of tubular and mucinous breast carcinomas. J Clin Oncol 17: 1442-1448.
- Al-Zawi ASA, Adamczyk B, Wejman-Matela A, Sathananthan S (2018) Histopathological Types of Operable Early Breast Cancer in the Elderly: Is there a Special Pattern? – a Retrospective, Multicentre Study. Medical Research Journal 3: 10-14.
- Crispo A, Barba M, D'Aiuto G, De Laurentiis M, Grimaldi M, et al. (2013) Molecular profiles of screen detected vs. symptomatic breast cancer and their impact on survival: results from a clinical series. BMC Cancer 13: 15.
- Dawson SJ, Duffy SW, Blows FM, Drivere KE, Provenzano E, et al. (2009) Molecular characteristics of screen-detected vs symptomatic breast cancers and their impact on survival. Br J Cancer 101: 1338-1344

- Dammeyer K, Alfonso AR, Diep GK, Kantar RS, Berman ZP, et al. (2021) Predicting postoperative complications following mastectomy in the elderly: Evidence for the 5-factor frailty index. Breast J 27: 509-513.
- **13.** Handforth C, Clegg A, Young C, Simpkins S, Seymour MT, et al. (2015) The prevalence and outcomes of frailty in older cancer patients: a systematic review. Ann Oncol 26: 1091-1101.
- Rakha EA, Reis-Filho JS, Baehner F, Dabbs DJ, Decker T, et al. (2010) Breast cancer prognostic classification in the molecular era: the role of histological grade. Breast Cancer Res 12: 207.
- **15.** Rakha EA, El-Sayed ME, Lee AHS, Elston CW, Grainge MJ, et al. (2008) Prognostic significance of Nottingham histologic grade in invasive breast carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 26: 3153-3158.
- 16. Schwartz AM, Henson DE, Chen D, Rajamarthandan S (2014) Histologic grade remains a prognostic factor for breast cancer regardless of the number of positive lymph nodes and tumor size: a study of 161 708 cases of breast cancer from the SEER Program. Arch Pathol Lab Med 138: 1048-1052.
- 17. Amin MB (2017) American Joint Committee on Cancer., and American Cancer Society., AJCC cancer staging manual. Eight edition / editor-in-chief, Mahul B. Amin, MD, FCAP; editors, Stephen B. Edge, MD, FACS and 16 others; Donna M. Gress, RHIT, CTR Technical editor; Laura R. Meyer, CAPM Managing editor. ed. 2017, Chicago IL: American Joint Committee on Cancer, Springer. xvii, 1024 pages.
- Sundquist M, Thorstenson S, Brudin L, Nordenskjöld B (1999) Applying the Nottingham Prognostic Index to a Swedish breast cancer population. South East Swedish Breast Cancer Study Group. Breast Cancer Res Treat 53: 1-8.
- 19. Wilson S, Speers C, Tyldesley S, Chia S, Kennecke H, et al. (2016) Risk of Recurrence or Contralateral Breast Cancer More than 5 Years After Diagnosis of Hormone Receptor-Positive Early-Stage Breast Cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 16: 284-290.
- 20. Asaoka M, Patnaik SK, Zhang F, Ishikawa T, Takabe K (2020) Lymphovascular invasion in breast cancer is associated with gene expression signatures of cell proliferation but not lymphangiogenesis or immune response. Breast Cancer Res Treat 181: 309-322.
- 21. Truong PT, Yong CM, Abnousi F, Lee J, Kader HA, et al. (2005) Lymphovascular invasion is associated with reduced locoregional control and survival in women with node-negative breast cancer treated with mastectomy and systemic therapy. J Am Coll Surg 200: 912-921.
- Stuart-Harris R, Dahlstrom JE, Gupta R, Zhang Y, Craft P, et al. (2019) Recurrence in early breast cancer: Analysis of data from 3,765 Australian women treated between 1997 and 2015. Breast 44: 153-159.
- Hamy AS, Lam GT, Laas E, Darrigues L, Balezeau T, et al. (2018) Lymphovascular invasion after neoadjuvant chemotherapy is strongly associated with poor prognosis in breast carcinoma. Breast Cancer Res Treat 169: 295-304.
- Freedman GM, Li T, Polli LV, Anderson PR, Bleicher RJ, et al. (2012) Lymphatic space invasion is not an independent predictor of outcomes in early stage breast cancer treated by breast-conserving surgery and radiation. Breast J 18: 415-419.
- **25.** Liu YL, Saraf A, Lee SM, Zhong X, Hibshoosh H, et al. (2016) Lymphovascular invasion is an independent predictor of survival in breast cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Breast Cancer Res Treat 157: 555-564.
- **26.** Moinfar F (2007) Essentials of diagnostic breast pathology: a practical approach. 2007: Springer Science & Business Media.

Citation: Ofri A, Bhimani N, Warrier S (2022) Older Breast Cancer in Australia: Tumour Characteristics of Screened Versus Symptomatic Breast Cancers. J Oncol Res Ther 7: 10126. DOI: 10.29011/2574-710X.10126

- **27.** Roux P, Knight S, Cohen M, Classe JM, Mazouni C, et al. (2019) Tubular and mucinous breast cancer: results of a cohort of 917 patients. Tumori 105: 55-62.
- Anderson WF, Pfeiffer RM, Dores GM, Sherman ME (2006) Comparison of age distribution patterns for different histopathologic types of breast carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 15: 1809-1905
- 29. Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, et al. (2000) Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 406: 747-752.
- Grumpelt AM, Ignatov A, Tchaikovski SN, Burger E, Costa SD, et al. (2016) Tumor characteristics and therapy of elderly patients with breast cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 142: 1109-1116.
- 31. Bauer KR, Brown M, Cress RD, Parise CA, Caggiano V, et al. (2007) Descriptive analysis of estrogen receptor (ER)-negative, progesterone receptor (PR)-negative, and HER2-negative invasive breast cancer, the so-called triple-negative phenotype: a population-based study from the California cancer Registry. Cancer 109: 1721-1728.