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Abstract

Background: Fever is the most frequent symptom for Malaria and HIV/AIDS, but it is non-specific; therefore it can’t be used efficiently in clinical diagnosis of malaria in hyperendemic zones. In hypoendemic areas of malaria, this assertion is not obvious, since people lack naturally acquired immunity. The present study aims at determining the Malaria-Attributable fraction of Fever Episodes (MAFE) among HIV-positive patients in a hypoendemic highland area.

Methods: During two-years prospective cross-sectional study, blood samples were collected from outpatients of an HIV/AIDS clinic and examined for the presence and density of malaria parasites. In addition to malaria related symptoms, other patients’ data were recorded: sex, age, Body Mass Index (BMI), T-lymphocyte CD4 counts, viral loads, haemograms and transaminases measurements.

Results: A total of 729 HIV-seropositive patients were finally enrolled into the study. Their mean Plasmodial infection rate and parasitaemia were: 0.823% and 1.050 parasites/µl of blood respectively; and were both significantly lower, compared to the control seronegative group, in contrast to our hypothesis. No significant difference was observed when the mean values of transaminases were compared between those with and without plasmodial infection. For the 119 (16.32%) febrile individuals found, the calculated MAFE was almost null (0.15%). Their mean CD4 count, Red Blood Cells (RBC) count and haemoglobin rate were: 226 cell/µl, 3.83x106 RBC/µl and 10.4 g/dl respectively; and were all significantly lower than in the non-febrile group. However, malaria parasite infection rates and mean densities were similar in both the febrile and the non-febrile groups. Likewise, there was no difference between: sex ratios, mean ages, BMI, total white blood cells counts and viral loads between the two groups. Conclusion: HIV infection, in spite of the immunodeficiency induced, does not seems to enhance the risk of Plasmodium infection in hypoendemic highland settings. Even in the scarce cases of co-infection occurring here, malaria is rarely responsible for fever episodes. These fevers are indeed associated with higher anaemia and immunodeficiency, and likely due instead to opportunistic infectious diseases.
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Background

Malaria and HIV/AIDS are responsible for high morbidity and mortality rates in endemic regions of Sub-Saharan Africa, where they largely overlap in their geographical distribution and remain major health concerns. Even though the infectious agents of the two pathologies share blood as biotope, their epidemiology differs a lot and depends upon the geographic region. Nevertheless, fever is the most frequent and prominent symptom for both diseases, but it is not specific, since it can result from the release of hemozoin in malaria infection, or from opportunistic pathogens proliferation in HIV/AIDS. It is defined as a condition of the human body with temperature higher than 38°C [1]. As previously said, fever is the main symptom of malaria, but also a common manifestation of many infectious diseases. Malaria infection becomes a disease when parasitaemia above a certain threshold leads to the production of signs and symptoms [2,3]. However, in studying the specificity of highland malaria in Cameroon, it was discovered that these malaria-related symptoms vary with altitude [4]. Management of Plasmodium and HIV co-infection should therefore take into account the malaria epidemiological level of the locality.

In holoendemic areas of malaria, plasmodial infection could have effects on HIV acquisition, as well as its progression and response to therapy [5-7]. Moreover, HIV infected individuals when bearing malaria parasites, have significant increase in viral load and decrease in T-lymphocytes CD4 count [8]. The phenomenon is even more severe in HIV infected adults [9]. Furthermore, the plasmatic viral load of HIV increases during the course of malarial episode in absence of treatment [5]. Conversely, levels of malaria parasitaemia are positively correlated to the intensity of the immunity defect [10]. HIV/AIDS can increase the adverse effects of malaria, including anaemia and placental Plasmodium infection [11]. HIV infection may also leads to an increase of the Plasmodium parasitaemia, along with the frequency of clinical malaria; probably by suppressing the anti-Plasmodium immunity of the infected subjects [12-16]. In fact, viral infection induces a decrease in T-CD4 lymphocytes, as well as in their function within the cellular mediated immunity response [17]. This disruption of the acquired immune response also affects incidence, frequency, severity of malaria and even the efficacy of anti-malarial drugs [17-19]. It is important to note that in hyperendemic zones of malaria, HIV leads to an increase of malaria parasitaemia, thus inducing an increase of the infectious reservoir within the human population and the subsequent transmission rate of malaria [5], though a trend of increase in transmission-blocking immunity was observed with rising gametocytaemia in the general population [20]. These observations raise the question of possible interactions between the two pathogens in holoendemic areas, already discussed but still unclear [21]. However, in hypo endemic zones like highlands where malaria transmission and incidence are low, and populations are instead prone to epidemics, interactions between Plasmodium and HIV in case of co-infection have not yet been studied.

There are concerns that where HIV prevalence is high, co-infection of HIV with Plasmodium does exist [22], and the use of antiretroviral drugs (especially protease inhibitors), in some cases inhibits liver stage parasites at clinically relevant concentration [23], and in other cases can affect the efficacy of the newly introduced artemisinin-based Combination Therapy (ACT) [24]. The impediment in this situation is that, simultaneous administration of anti-malarial with anti-retroviral treatments can lead to high level of toxicity [25,26]. Treatment of HIV and Plasmodium co-infection is therefore a critical issue, and should be considered as medical emergency.

Liver involvement in Plasmodium infection and its subsequent functional-abnormalities or dysfunctions are common in severe malaria and may manifest as elevated liver enzymes or hyperbilirubinemia [27,28]. In fact, infection of liver cells can cause the organ congestion, sinusoidal blockade or cellular inflammation. These changes in hepatocytes can lead to the leakage of parenchymal transaminases and membranous phosphatase enzymes of the liver into the blood circulation [29]. It has been shown that if malaria is neglected or not treated properly, there will be an increase in the blood level of transaminases which will cause damage to the liver cells [30], though individual-specific factors may confer more or less susceptibility to hepatocyte injury [31]. As mentioned above, hepatocellular dysfunction is frequent in malaria, but that qualifying as malarial hepatopathy is not common. It appears mostly in case of severe malaria, and is more likely to occur in presence of other health complications [32], hence coinfection with HIV could favour its development. The rationale for proving whether Plasmodium infection induces greater hepatocellular disease in immunodeficient people is of great consequence, because if such were the case, then these patients should be treated concomitantly for both hepatitis and malaria.
Following the release of metabolic wastes present in the digestive vacuole of *Plasmodium* (from the rupture of infected erythrocytes) into blood circulation during malaria attack, hemozoin is engulfed and accumulated within circulating monocytes and tissue macrophages (reticulo-endothelial system). It mediates the release of endogenous pyrogens that altered several functions of the immune system; especially thermoregulation [33]. This hemozoin accumulation is associated with some malarial symptoms, mainly fever. In fact, high levels of hemozoin within immune cells have been shown to correlate with disease severity [34,35]. However, the physiopathology of the host-parasite interactions and particularly the mechanism of fever induction in malaria have not been clarified yet. The slight progress made until recently, about the potential mechanism of malaria-induced fever reports on a novel mechanism that the host uses to recognise *Plasmodium* DNA via a Toll-like receptor (TLR-9). It has elucidated an important step in the long-time discussion on why and how does malaria cause fever, by showing that hemozoin internalises plasmodial DNA and present it to TLR9. Plasmoidal DNA then intracellularly interacts with TLR9, initiating signal transduction leading to the release of proinflammatory cytokines. These cytokines induce production of prostaglandins which subsequently leads to induction of fever. Hemozoin is therefore involved in malarial fever but is toll-free [36]. This discovery corrects previous findings claiming that hemozoin was a direct TLR9 stimulus, and that stimulation of TLR-9 by hemozoin pigment could be the key step for inducing fever in human host during malaria attack [37]. Some authors have pointed out a production of prostaglandins that are pyrogenic and immunosuppressive, by both the human host cells and *Plasmodium* themselves [38]. It is likely that these important mechanisms of malaria physiopathology may also apply to opportunistic infectious diseases in People Living with HIV (PLHIV). Anyhow, more knowledge on the fever physiopathology is needed in order to: discriminate the sources of fever in co-infected patients, and develop appropriate intervention strategies towards reducing the disease burden.

Fever episodes are usually attributed to malaria in endemic zones of Sub-Saharan Africa, because the case definition of symptomatic malaria generally used is fever associated with a specific parasite density threshold [30,31,39,40]. Hence, it was recommended for quite a long time that for all febrile episodes, a presumptive treatment should be administered [41]. The approach was of value to clinicians, but not to epidemiologists. In fact, it is not clear so far, whether the presumptive treatment of fever episodes as malaria cases is an advantage or a hindrance for malaria control [42]. Recently, with achievement of the Rapid Diagnostic Test (RDT) that enable quick and easier examination of blood, WHO moved away from presumptive treatment policy to one that advocates parasitological diagnosis whenever possible [43]. The aim of this shift of policy was to avoid treating large numbers of non-malarial fevers, which represent a non-negligible fraction in holoendemic areas, in contrast to highlands. The potential benefits of parasitological diagnosis prior to the treatment will depend mainly upon the prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection among patients who report fever (*P*.), knowing that these malarial fevers are frequently associated to other manifestations [44,45]. Unfortunately, this policy is not practically implemented in most places.

Treatment of fever in hyper endemic region ought to be done with extreme caution, since several infectious diseases also generate fever; especially opportunistic diseases in People Living with HIV infection (PLHIV). In fact, a bright confusion surrounds the definition of fever and its use in clinical diagnosis of malaria [46-49]. For some authors, fever or any recent febrile episode strongly predicts malarial infection [22]; while for others, it is more likely associated to an infectious disease [50]. Nevertheless, it is intuitively obvious that PLHIV in malaria hyper endemic zones will present an increased risk of developing malaria, since their immune system is deficient [11-14]. However, very little is known about hypo endemic areas.

Since the prevalence of malaria among PLHIV was not known in the hypoendemic area of Western-Cameroon highland, it was important to make a distinction between *Plasmodium*-induced fever and the others, for a better treatment policy of these peculiar patients. This study aimed principally at evaluating the malaria-attributable fraction of fever episodes (MAFE) among HIV-seropositive patients in the Western-Cameroon highland.

**Methods**

**Study site**

This prospective cross-sectional study was conducted in Dschang (05° 27’ N; 10° 04’ E), the county town of Menoua Division in the Bamiléké Highland plateau (Western Region of Cameroon), at approximately 1,400 m of altitude. The landscape is made up of bush savannah with an altitudinal Soudano-guinean climate, comprising 2 main seasons: a rainy season (from mid-March to mid-November) followed by a dry season (from mid-November to mid-March). The average rainfall is 2,000 mm with relative humidity between 64.3 and 97.0%. The daily mean temperature is 20°C with variations exceeding 2.2°C [51]. The population of Dschang was estimated at 120,207 people [52].

The field study was conducted for two years at the Saint Vincent De Paul Hospital, which hosts among other services, a care and treatment centre for PLHIV, named DREAM (Drug Resource Enhancement against AIDS and Malnutrition). The DREAM Centre was initiated by the Sant’Egidio Christian community.
and the Daughters of Charity; and subsequently authorized by the Ministry of Public Health of Cameroon as the western regional reference diagnostic and treatment centre for HIV/AIDS.

**Sampling Method and Patients Management**

Outpatients of the Hospital Saint Vincent De Paul were on daily basis systematically requested by the physicians to participate in the present study, after being instructed on the protocol’s objectives and methods. Those aged over 15 years who agreed were selected and enrolled into the study. They were then tested for HIV and *Plasmodium* carriage. All the patients recruited on Wednesdays were exceptionally enrolled into the study, irrespective of the results of their malaria and HIV test, to generate a sero-negative control group, while only the HIV-positive individuals were considered the other days of the week. In addition to these sets of participants, patients diagnosed HIV-positive in other clinics over the whole Territorial Division were referred to the DREAM center with the record of their clinical data, as DREAM was accredited as the reference centre of the region for free of charge treatment. Cotrimoxazol prophylaxis, antiretroviral and antimalarial treatments (whether medically prescribed by physician or simply by auto-medication) prior to the patients’ visit at the hospital were exclusion criteria for enrolment of patients into this article.

Patients were subsequently put under treatment and monitored, depending on the results of laboratory tests: a first line Antiretroviral treatment (ART) in case of CD4 cells count < 350 cell/µl or viral load > 100,000 copies/ml as recommended by the government policy, and an Artemisinin Combination Therapy (ACT) in case of any single presence of *Plasmodium*. However, only data collected during the first visit of the outpatients (i.e.: before the beginning of any antiretroviral or antimalarial treatment) were considered for this article.

Axillaries’ temperatures and the other symptoms were recorded on this first day of consultation, to enable separation of participants into 2 groups: febrile (or symptomatic) and non-febrile, based on the definition of malaria related symptoms in this locality [4]. Weights (w) of patients were recorded using a personal weighing machine, while the height (h) was measured with a height gauge. The two parameters were used to calculate the Body Mass Index (BMI), which enable appreciating the nutritional status of patients using De St Paul’s formula [53]:

\[
BMI = \frac{w}{h^2} \quad \text{(with } w \text{ in Kilogramme and } h \text{ in meter).}
\]

Blood collections from those who consented were done as requested by the doctors for routine check and management of malaria and HIV. No additional blood sample was required for this research. In this respect, blood was obtained by classical venous puncture, into two tubes: a 5 ml vacutainer tube containing EDTA for haemogram, CD4 count, viral load, thick and thin blood films; and an 8 ml dry tube for biochemical analyses.

**Laboratory Examinations**

Thick and thin blood films for the microscopic examination of malaria parasites were prepared manually [54]. Slides were then examined under the immersion oil objective 100x, for the presence and density of *Plasmodium*. A thick blood film was considered negative when no parasite was seen after examining 200 microscope fields [55]. For the positive slides, identification of the parasite species was done on the thin blood smear.

For each participant enrolled, a semi-quantitative examination of slide (+ system) was conducted at the laboratory of Saint Vincent de Paul Hospital, to quickly provide the physician with rough laboratory result, and enable him taking the right and informed decision for the treatment. Patients were then monitored accordingly. Plasmonic viral load, biochemistry, haematology and CD4 cells count were later determined at the molecular biology laboratory of the DREAM centre. The quantitative analyses of slides were later carried out at the Vector Borne Diseases Laboratory of the Research Unit for Biology and Applied Ecology (VBID-RUBAE), to determine the malaria parasite species and densities.

To calculate the density of asexual parasite stages, the number of trophozoites observed for 200 white blood cells (WBCs) was recorded; whereas the density of sexual parasite stages was obtained by counting the number of gametocytes observed for 1,000 WBCs. The average densities of asexual and sexual parasites were obtained based on the number of WBCs counted per unit volume of blood on the haemogram for each patient. The haemogram was realised with a haematologic counter [56]. CD4 cells count was realised using a cytometer [57]. Measurement of plasmonic viral load was done with the 340 Bayer branched DNA technology system (VERSANT HIV-1 RNA 3.0 Assay bDNA, Siemens Diagnostics) with a limit range of detection between 50 to 500,000 RNA copies/ml [58]. There are several liver enzymes whose concentration in the blood increases during the course of *Plasmodium* infection [27-30]. However, only two were selected for this study. Titration of transaminases (Glutamic-oxalo-acetic transferase (GOT) and Glutamo-Pyruvate Transferase (GPT)) was realised on serums, using the automate Humastar-180 [59], in order to assess the eventual impact of *Plasmodium* infection on the liver function of PLHIV.

**Malaria-Attributable Fraction Estimate of Fever Episodes among the Seropositive Patients**

Just as for the clinical case definitions of malaria, there have been several attempts for estimating the fraction of fever episodes
attributable to malaria [47,60-64]. Herein the fraction of fevers and/or the other malaria-related symptoms attributable to malaria infection was calculated as:

$$MAFE = \frac{P_f - P_a}{1 - P_a};$$

where $P_f$ is the proportion of fever episodes with parasites present, and $P_a$ the proportion of asymptomatic but parasite positive individuals sampled from the general population [62].

### Statistical Analysis

Data collected were recorded and handled using a Microsoft Excel software program 2010. Analysis of data was performed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software (PASW Statistics version 18). The Chi-Square ($\chi^2$) test was first of all used to compare the prevalence of the malaria infections, the sex/ratio between HIV positive and negative patients, and finally to compare the sex/ratio between febrile and non-febrile HIV-positive participants.

After a logarithmic transformation of data, the student $t$-test allowed comparing geometric means of *Plasmodium* parasitaemia first of all between HIV-positive and HIV-negative patients, and then between HIV-positive febrile and non-febrile patients. This same test was used to compare means of temperature, age, WBCs, RBCs, Viral Load, CD4, Hb and BMI between febrile and non-febrile HIV-positive participants.

The Mann-Whitney non-parametric $U$ test was used to compare means of T-CD4, Viral load, BMI, as well as means of GOT and GPT, between HIV seropositive patients co-infected with *Plasmodium*, and HIV positive patients not infected with malaria parasite. All the different tests were calculated at 95% Confidence Interval (CI) and the differences were considered significant for $p < 0.05$.

### Results

A total of 1960 thick blood smears were realized during the course of the study, with 729 (37.2%) from HIV-positive patients and 1231 (62.8%) from HIV negative patients.

### Comparison of HIV-Positive and HIV-Negative Patients

HIV-positive participants were significantly older than HIV-negative ones with average ages of 34.7 and 25.7 years old respectively ($p<0.001$). The higher mean age for HIV-positive group confirms the fact that HIV mostly affects the sexually active population group. The sex-ratio was 0.39 and 0.66 for seropositive and seronegative participants respectively, with a significant difference between the 2 groups ($p<0.001$), indicating that female positive patients attend hospitals more frequently than men do; which is not the case in the seronegative group (Table 1). On the contrary, the rate of febrile episodes and the mean temperatures did not differ between the two groups, meaning that the febrile status of the HIV-positive and HIV-negative patients were similar ($p = 0.31$ for the prevalence of feverish people, and $p = 0.76$ for the mean temperature). Following clinical consultation of outpatients by the physician, 98 (13.4%) patients were admitted in the hospital (for at least one day) among the seropositive patients, versus 186 (15.1%) in the seronegative group, with a non significant difference ($p = 0.31$).

In spite of the similarities in the severity of disease, as revealed by the malaria-related symptoms (fever, headache, joint pains, convulsion and nausea, except for abdominal pain), prevalence of *Plasmodium* infections were 0.823% and 8.204% in HIV-positive and HIV-negative participants respectively, with a significant difference between the 2 groups ($p < 0.001$). This shows that on the contrary to our hypothesis, HIV-positive patients are not more prone to malaria infection than the seronegative ones. Mean malaria parasitaemia was also significantly low among seropositive patients compared to seronegative individuals ($p < 0.001$). Thus, HIV infection does not constitute a risk factor for malaria infection in hypo-endemic areas; neither does it predispose patients to high malaria parasite loads (Table 1).
### Table 1: Comparison of the HIV-positive and HIV-negative patients’ groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HIV-positive patients</th>
<th>HIV-negative patients</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>729 (37.2%)</td>
<td>1231 (62.8%)</td>
<td>1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ages (years)</td>
<td>34.7 ± 12.3</td>
<td>25.7 ± 22.2</td>
<td>(t = -10.01, p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Men (Sex-ratio)</td>
<td>27.8% (0.39)</td>
<td>39.8% (0.66)</td>
<td>(c² = 28.65, P = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>(t = 2.79, p = 0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients clinically diagnosed as seriously sick and hospitalized for at least a day</td>
<td>98 (13.44%)</td>
<td>186 (15.11%)</td>
<td>(c² = 1.02, p = 0.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with Headache</td>
<td>100 (13.72%)</td>
<td>195 (15.84%)</td>
<td>(c² = 1.62, p = 0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with joint pains</td>
<td>118 (16.19%)</td>
<td>204 (16.57%)</td>
<td>(c² = 0.50, p = 0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with abdominal pains</td>
<td>168 (23.04%)</td>
<td>190 (15.43%)</td>
<td>(c² = 17.76, p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with convulsion</td>
<td>99 (13.58%)</td>
<td>184 (14.95%)</td>
<td>(c² = 0.69, p = 0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with nausea</td>
<td>136 (18.65%)</td>
<td>208 (16.90%)</td>
<td>(c² = 0.98, p = 0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of <em>Plasmodium</em>-positive patients (Plasmodial infection rates)</td>
<td>6 (0.823%)</td>
<td>101 (8.204%)</td>
<td>(c² = 48.34, p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic means of malaria parasitaemia (Parasites/µl)</td>
<td>21 ± 299</td>
<td>4537 ± 35737</td>
<td>(U = 4.53x10⁴, p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric means of malaria parasitaemia (Parasites/µl)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>(t = 7.45, p = 0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of Plasmodial Infection on the Liver Function of PLHIV**

Measurements of liver enzyme secretions were done for 490 HIV-positive patients, whose cases were considered serious. No significant difference was observed when comparing the mean values of GOT and GPT between the seropositive patients infected by *Plasmodium* and those who were *Plasmodium* free (p = 0.87 for GOT and 0.63 for GPT). Malaria infection seemed not to have any influence on the secretion of these transaminases and therefore on the liver function of PLHIV, at least at the beginning of their sickness (Table 2).
Comparison of Febrile and Non-Febrile HIV-Positive Participants

Among the 729 seropositive patients recruited, 119 (16.3%) were febrile (Body Temperature ≥ 38°C and/or other symptoms associated with malaria in this locality, such as: chills, headache, body pains, etc) [4]. Mean temperatures were 38.59°C and 36.72°C in febrile and non-febrile groups respectively (Table 3), with a significant difference (p = 0.001). No significant difference was observed as mean age and sex-ratio between the two groups were concerned (p = 0.08 and p = 0.17 respectively). Increase of temperature among HIV-infected patients was therefore neither related to the age nor to the gender of patients.

Means of viral loads were 2.14×10^5 and 1.81×10^5 copies/ml in the febrile and non-febrile seropositive groups respectively, and no significant difference was observed between the 2 groups (p = 0.28). However, when these seropositive patients were divided into high (> 100,000 copies/ml) and low viral loads (≤ 100,000 copies/ml), the proportion of individuals with heavy viral load was significantly higher in the feverish group than in the non-febrile group, 57.1% and 43.8% respectively (p = 0.02). Fever episodes in PLHIV could have been indirectly induced by heavy viral loads, or eventual opportunistic diseases.

When comparing the mean of total WBCs between the seropositive febrile and non-febrile individuals, no significant difference was observed, as the different means were 5.2×10^3 and 5.7×10^3 leucocytes/µl respectively (p = 0.57). Thus, the febrile status of seropositive patients did not have impact or didn’t derive from the WBCs density (Table 3). However, the means of CD4 cells numbers were 226 and 305 cells/µl respectively for HIV-positive febrile and non-febrile individuals (p < 0.003). Moreover, the rate of people with low CD4 cells count (< 350 cell/µl) was significantly higher in the fever group than in the non febrile group, 83.2% and 71.5% respectively (p < 0.007). The febrile patients were significantly more immune-depressed than non-febrile ones, and this suppression concerns exclusively the CD4 cells category.

The mean densities of haemoglobin were 10.37 and 11.36 g/dl in febrile and non-febrile seropositive patients, and those of RBCs were 3.83×10^6 and 4.03×10^6 cells/µl respectively. Anaemia was significantly marked in febrile seropositive individuals compared to non-febrile ones (p < 0.001 for haemoglobin and p < 0.005 for RBCs) (Table 3). It is obvious that haemolysis of parasitized red blood cells is likely to induce anaemia and fever; but it is difficult here to tell the origin of anaemia and therefore the relationship between fever and anaemia. In fact, anaemia could also arise from: chronic diseases, severe immune-depression and failure or diminishing of bone marrow production.

The means of BMIs were 23.80 and 23.37 respectively for HIV-positive febrile and non-febrile individuals, with no significant difference (p = 0.36) (Table 3). The weight loss observed in HIV positive patients here and there was not responsible or due to their feverish status.

As the prevalence of malaria infection is concerned, no significant difference was observed between the febrile and non-febrile HIV-positive groups, 0.840% and 0.819% respectively (p = 0.65). Also, there was no significant difference regarding the mean of plasmodial parasitaemia between febrile and non-febrile seropositive participants; about 01 trophozoite/µl in each group (p = 0.74) (Table 3). Fever cannot be used therefore as an indicator of Plasmodial infection in PLHIV.

### Table 2: Effect of malaria infection on the liver function of HIV-positive patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liver enzyme secretions</th>
<th>Malaria status</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Plasmodium</em>-positive patients</td>
<td><em>Plasmodium</em>-negative patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of GOT (UI/L)</td>
<td>34 ± 11.17</td>
<td>42.15 ± 45.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean of GPT (UI/L)</td>
<td>34.50 ± 14.73</td>
<td>36.24 ± 31.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* GOT: Glutamo-Oxalo-acetate Transferase, GPT: Glutamo-Pyruvate Transferase
Clinical examination data of all the 729 seropositive patients were recorded and 119 (16.3%) were febrile and/or carried malaria-like symptoms [32]. Among this group of feverish people, only one person was infected with *Plasmodium* after parasitological examination (Table 3). The prevalence of Plasmodial infections in febrile HIV-positive patients ($P_f$) was therefore $1/119 = 0.840\%$.

Among the 729 seropositive patients, only 5 were *Plasmodium* positive and without malaria-like complaint; indicating a malaria infection prevalence within the asymptomatic patients of $5/610 = 0.819\%$ and a $P_a$ equal to $5/729 = 0.686\%$. Based on Smith’s formula the MAFE was therefore estimated to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HIV+ and Fehrile/symptomatic patients</th>
<th>HIV+ and Non-febrile/asymptomatic patients</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Temperature ( °C)</td>
<td>$38.59 \pm 0.59$</td>
<td>$36.73 \pm 0.59$</td>
<td>($t = -22.82$, $p &lt; 0.001$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ages (/year)</td>
<td>$33.11 \pm 10.05$</td>
<td>$34.96 \pm 12.73$</td>
<td>($t = 1.75$, $p = 0.08$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Male (Sex-ratio)</td>
<td>22.69% (0.36)</td>
<td>28.85% (0.41)</td>
<td>($\chi^2 = 1.88$, $p = 0.17$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean viral loads (copies/ml) (Number of patients with &gt; 100 000 copies/ml)</td>
<td>$2.14\times10^5 \pm 208490$ (68 (57.1%))</td>
<td>$1.81\times10^5 \pm 327199$ (267 (43.8%))</td>
<td>($t = -1.08$, $p = 0.28$) ($\chi^2 = 12.31$, $p = 0.02$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total WBCs count (cell/µl) *</td>
<td>$5.2\times10^3 \pm 2.60\times10^3$</td>
<td>$5.7\times10^3 \pm 2.67\times10^3$</td>
<td>($t = 0.56$, $p = 0.57$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CD4 count (cell/µl) (Number of patients with &lt; 350 cell/µl)</td>
<td>$226 \pm 221$ (99 (83.2%))</td>
<td>$305 \pm 273$ (436 (71.5%))</td>
<td>($t = 3.06$, $p = 0.003$) ($\chi^2 = 20.56$, $p = 0.007$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RBCs count (cell/µl) *</td>
<td>$3.83\times10^6 \pm 0.72\times10^6$</td>
<td>$4.03\times10^6 \pm 0.70\times10^6$</td>
<td>($t = 2.81$, $p = 0.005$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Hb densities (g/dl) *</td>
<td>$10.37 \pm 2.14$</td>
<td>$11.36 \pm 2.04$</td>
<td>($t = 4.62$, $p &lt; 0.001$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI (kg/m^2) *</td>
<td>$23.80 \pm 5.51$</td>
<td>$23.37 \pm 4.55$</td>
<td>($t = -0.92$, $p = 0.36$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of <em>Plasmodium</em>-positive patients (Mean Plasmodial infection rates)</td>
<td>01 (0.840%)</td>
<td>05 (0.819%)</td>
<td>06 (Exact Fischer Test, $p = 0.65$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic means of malaria parasitaemia (Parasites/µl)</td>
<td>15.9 $\pm 173.3$</td>
<td>21.5 $\pm 318.2$</td>
<td>($U = 0.27\times10^4$, $P = 0.78$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric means of malaria parasitaemia (Parasites/µl)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>($t = 0.33$, $p = 0.74$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* : WBC: Total White Blood Cells; RBC: Red Blood Cells; Hb: Haemoglobin; BMI: Body Mass Index; MAFE: Malaria-attributable fraction of fever episodes

Table 3: Comparison of the status of febrile and non-febrile HIV-positive patients’ groups

Malaria-Attributable Fraction Estimate of Fever Episodes

Clinical examination data of all the 729 seropositive patients were recorded and 119 (16.3%) were febrile and/or carried malaria-like symptoms [32]. Among this group of feverish people, only one person was infected with *Plasmodium* after parasitological examination (Table 3). The prevalence of Plasmodial infections in febrile HIV-positive patients ($P_f$) was therefore $1/119 = 0.840\%$.

Among the 729 seropositive patients, only 5 were *Plasmodium* positive and without malaria-like complaint; indicating a malaria infection prevalence within the asymptomatic patients of $5/610 = 0.819\%$ and a $P_a$ equal to $5/729 = 0.686\%$. Based on Smith’s formula the MAFE was therefore estimated to be:
Malaria Infections in Seropositive and Seronegative Patients

In a previous study in the same area, a list of malaria-related manifestations was determined: headache, joint pains, abdominal pains, convulsion and nausea [04]. A comparison of the frequencies of each of these symptoms in the seropositive and seronegative groups was made here, and apart from the abdominal pain whose frequency was higher in the seropositive group, no other symptom’s frequency was significantly different between the two groups. Seropositive and seronegative outpatients of this hospital located in a malaria hypoendemic area are therefore symptomatologically similar at their arrival in the clinic, which for most of this sample correspond to the beginning period of their immuno-deficiency status, except for the abdominal pain.

The lower prevalence and density of malaria parasite in HIV-positive group confirms the fact that HIV mostly affects the sexually active age range of the population. This result is similar to the one observed previously in Bamako, where young adults with mean age between 26 and 36 years were more infected by HIV than the other age groups [67]. Moreover, within the HIV-positive group of patients, the sex-ratio was found to be in favour of women (0.39). This may indicate that the number of HIV-infected women was higher compared to men, or that women undergo HIV screening more often than men do.

Effect of Plasmodial Infection on the Liver Function of PLHIV

In a previous study in the same area, a list of malaria-related manifestations was determined: headache, joint pains, abdominal pains, convulsion and nausea [04]. A comparison of the frequencies of each of these symptoms in the seropositive and seronegative groups was made here, and apart from the abdominal pain whose frequency was higher in the seropositive group, no other symptom’s frequency was significantly different between the two groups. Seropositive and seronegative outpatients of this hospital located in a malaria hypoendemic area are therefore symptomatologically similar at their arrival in the clinic, which for most of this sample correspond to the beginning period of their immuno-deficiency status, except for the abdominal pain.

The lower prevalence and density of malaria parasite in HIV-positive participants indicate that HIV infection does not predispose patients to Plasmodium infections early. A study realised in South Africa, also reported a better survival in a rural population infected by HIV, and pointed out that malaria did not represent an important cause of morbidity in PLHIV [68]. This observation confirms the report that immunodeficiency due to HIV does not affect immunity to malaria quickly [69]. In fact, the data analysed for this article were collected during enrolment of patients into the cohort study of DREAM. For some of them, this was even the visit of revelation and awareness of their HIV immune status. In absence of treatment, it is likely for some authors that HIV will gradually reduce the immune response to malaria and that this reduction will be more pronounced with the progressing level of immune-depression [12-16]. More case studies are therefore necessary to explore the effect of HIV infection on the susceptibility of subjects to malaria infections and the interaction between the two pathogens in case of long term co-infection. Hence, monitoring of the DREAM cohort of HIV-positive patients will unveil their viral and immune evolution under first line ART treatment, as well as the outcome of eventual coinfection with Plasmodium at a more immunodeficient stage.

Comparison of Febrile and Non-Febrile HIV-Positive Patients

The HIV-positive patients were divided into two groups: febrile patients (with temperature ≥ 38°C and/or other malaria-related symptoms) and non-febrile patients. Febrile HIV-seropositive patients showed lower CD4 cells count than non-febrile positive individuals. However, the prevalence of Plasmodium infection among outpatients was very low; therefore very few patients were co-infected with malaria parasites and HIV in both groups. This means that reduction of CD4 lymphocytes predisposes HIV-patients instead to opportunistic infections that finally cause their febrile status. For example, a study carried out in a locality of Uganda with higher incidence for the two diseases than in Western-Cameroon, pointed an association between fever and co-infection

\[
MAFE = \frac{P_f - P_a}{1 - P_a} = \frac{1}{119} - \frac{5}{729} = 0.15\%
\]
of malaria and AIDS [11]. Similar result was previously observed, demonstrating that fever episodes in seropositive patients are usually caused by dissemination of opportunistic infections, and that the relative frequency of each causative agent is influenced by many factors among which are: the number of CD4 lymphocytes, the geographical position of the locality and the local prevalence of the infectious agents responsible [70]. It should be noted that in the malaria hypoendemic area of the Bamiléké plateau in Western-Cameroon, where people are expected to lack efficient protective immunity, malaria is not an opportunistic disease for PLHIV.

The mean of haemoglobin density being 10.37 and 11.36 g/dl, and that of RBCs 3.83x10^6 and 4.03x10^6/µl respectively for HIV febrile and non-febrile subjects, it could be assumed that seropositive febrile patients were more susceptible to haemolytic infections than non-febrile ones. Though it is obvious that haemolysis of red blood cells is likely to induce fever, it is difficult here to determine the origin of anaemia and therefore the relationship between anaemia and fever. In fact, apart from haemolysis, there is a multitude of potential causes for anemia and reduced number of erythrocytes. The decrease of haemoglobin and RBCs during the course of HIV infection could also be an indication of advanced immune-depression, failure or diminished bone marrow production, or other concomitant chronic disease; which result in the increased infection susceptibility to opportunistic diseases and the subsequent fever. Similar results were obtained in a malaria endemic area, and asserted that opportunistic infectious agents are often responsible for anaemia and hyperthermia in HIV seropositive patients [71]. This anaemia could also be due to ARV therapy, especially with Azidothymidine (AZT), which causes bone marrow suppression [72]. However, patients already under malaria or HIV treatment were excluded for this article. The febrile status of HIV-positive patients was independent of the age, the gender, the viral load, the total WBCs count and the BMI. Herein, it is shown that malaria parasites should not be incriminated for anaemia and fever occurrences, since the Plasmodial infection rate and parasitaemia were very low in both groups and not correlated to the increase of temperature.

Malaria-Attributable Fraction of Fever Episodes

Malaria-associated symptoms in outpatients of this health center were previously described [32], and its definition used in this study, to estimate the fraction of fever episodes attributable to Plasmodium infection. In fact, it has been demonstrated that the MAFE varied with the locality, the season and the age range [47]. This estimation among PLHIV was found to be very low here among PLHIV; meaning that on the contrary to holoendemic areas, Plasmodium are rarely responsible for fever episodes among people of hypoendemic highlands living with HIV. Their symptomatic and anaemic status found is therefore more likely due to opportunistic infectious diseases.

A study realized in Malawi on the attributable-fraction of malaria in febrile episodes, showed that 15% of reported fevers in HIV-positive subjects were due to Plasmodium infection [50]. Another study carried out in Ghana revealed that, the prevalence of confirmed malaria by the laboratory was 4.4% in seropositive patients [08]. The above mentioned estimates found in seropositive patients of endemic areas were both higher than the value obtained here in Western highland of Cameroon, which was 0.15%. Surprisingly, this value of MAFE was too low compared to the $P_f$ in the general outpatients of the Saint Vincent De Paul Hospital in the same site, for which a high value of $P_f$ (75.8%) was found [32]. In this former publication, participants were all enrolled from the Saint Vincent De Paul Hospital and none at the DREAM center, and even less than 15 years were included. They were then sample representative of the local general population. For this article, the sample population is constituted by individuals either suspected or confirmed for HIV infection; they are known to have the propensity to automedication of all kind, due to their frequent discomfort, which could reduce their plasmodial infection rate. Another possible reason of this discrepancy is that since that study, two rounds of mass distribution campaign of long lasting insecticides impregnated bed nets have been launched by the national malaria control programme. It is therefore confirmed that malaria is not an opportunistic disease in PLHIV on the Bamiléké plateau.

Herein, we are concerned with a hypoendemic highland malaria zone with a low level of transmission of around 62.8 infective bites/human/year [65] and a relatively low HIV infection rate of 2.8% [73]. These are possible reasons why the MAFE was almost null. On the contrary, it is difficult in holoendemic settings to distinguish between fever from Plasmodium infection whose rate is somehow high despite the existence of asymptomatic cases [60, 62], and that induced by other causes; even after malaria parasite have been diagnosed in the patient. In fact, in holoendemic areas, some people are normally asymptomatic Plasmodium carriers and subsequently develop fever due to opportunistic infection. The strong controversy around the use of fever (and/or the other malaria associated symptoms) to define malaria cases in holoendemic areas among PLHIV [21], does not exist here on the Bamiléké plateau therefore.

Conclusion

The present study revealed that HIV infection in spite of the immunodeficiency induced does not enhance the risk of Plasmodium infection in hypoendemic highland settings. Even in the scarce cases of co-infection, damage induced by Plasmodium on the patients’ liver function is not obvious, and malaria represents a negligible fraction of the febrile episodes. These febrile episodes which are associated with higher anaemia and immunodeficiency are therefore likely due to opportunistic infectious diseases.
As a matter of fact, malaria is not an opportunistic disease for people of this highlands living with HIV. We argue that the seropositive patients were instead highly susceptible to other infectious diseases which were responsible for their symptomatic status. The definition of malaria based on fever (and/or the other malaria associated complaints), in this case would mislead clinical diagnosis of opportunistic diseases, which in a large proportion will be wrongly treated as malaria.
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