Paradoxical Skin Reaction in a Patient with Crohn’s Disease Infliximab Treatment
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Introduction

The anti-TNF-α, including adalimumab and infliximab, are drugs that have dramatically improved the prognosis of inflammatory bowel disease. However, some concerns have recently emerged on anti-TNF alpha induced inflammatory skin lesions, such as psoriasiform and eczematiform, lichenoid eruptions and lupus-erythematosus-skin-like. Other rare complications included neutrophilic dermatoses such as amicrobial pustulosis, which resembles the inverted psoriasis. All of these skin disorders represent a paradoxical reaction, especially the psoriasiform pattern, since anti-TNF-α therapies are commonly used for psoriasis treatment. Paradoxical psoriasis is a relevant side effect of anti-TNF-α therapy with an incidence rate of 5 per 100 person-years. [1-3]. We report the case of a patient with Crohn’s Disease (CD) treated with infliximab who presented extensive psoriasiform skin lesions, inverse psoriasis-like.

Case Report

A 56-year-old woman was referred for evaluation of desquamation involving her face, neck and scalp during the previous week. Her past medical history revealed that she had been diagnosed with CD ten years previously, with gastric, duodenal, distal ileum, cecum, colon and, at the present time, intense perianal involvement. She did not have any personal or familial history of psoriasis. She had been treated with mesalazine, azathioprine and adalimumab, that was replaced to infliximab (5mg/kg intravenously) with control of CD. Two years after infliximab introduction, after the 9th infusion, the eruption got worse with severe pruritus and extensive erythematous, scaly and exudative patches on the face, scalp and neck. There was progression to the flexural areas on the trunk and limbs. The nail plates were normal (Figure 1). Skin lesions became clearly psoriasiform coincidentally with an increase in the frequency of infliximab infusions (every 6 weeks). Histopathological examination showed psoriasiform hyperplasia with marked parakeratosis, spongiosis exocytosis and necrotic keratinocyte. Skin lesions became clearly psoriasiform coincidentally with an increase in the frequency of infliximab infusions (every 6 weeks). The initial clinical diagnostic hypothesis were contact dermatitis, atopic dermatitis, seborrheic dermatitis and inverse psoriasis. Histopathological examination of a skin biopsy taken from her neck, close to the hairline, showed psoriasiform hyperplasia with marked parakeratosis, spongiosis exocytosis, superficial inflammatory infiltrate consisting of lymphocytes, eosinophils and necrotic keratinocytes (Figure 2). Results of laboratory tests were within normal limits. The clinical and histopathological findings, and the history of infliximab therapy led to the diagnosis of a paradoxical skin reaction inverse psoriasis-like. The treatment was started with topical betamethasone dipropionate, oral prednisone 20mg/day and oral acitretin 20mg/day. After discussing the case with the gastroenterologist, infliximab therapy was discontinued and there was slowly resolution of cutaneous lesion in 4 months. During this period skin directed therapy was progressively reduced (Figure 3). The improvement of the eruption after stopping infliximab reinforce the role of the antiTNF as a trigger for this reaction. For the CD the patient remain using mesalazine and azathioprine. Vedolimusab was added to the therapy regimen to replace infliximab.
Figure 1: (a) erythematous, scaly patches, some with erosions and exudate located at (a) frontal, periorbital and perinasal, perioral, (b) periauricular (c) retroauricular and cervical areas.

Figure 2: Histopathological examination showed (a) psoriasiform hyperplasia with marked parakeratosis, spongiosis, exocytosis, (b) necrotic keratinocyte (arrow), (c) melanophages and (d) eosinophils. HE, original magnification (a) 100x (b-c) 200x (c) 400x.

Figure 3: (a) Regression of lesions at (a) periauricular, (b) neck, (c) periumbilical area, (d) infra-abdominal fold.

Discussion

The paradoxical induction of psoriasis-like eruption under anti-TNF-α treatments was first reported by Verea et al in 2004. These side effects, in particular psoriasis, have been defined “paradoxical”, because anti-TNF-α are commonly used to treat wild psoriasis. The time between the introduction of the medication and the appearance of lesions can range from a few days to many months [1-7]. The main risk factors are female sex, CD, personal or family history of inflammatory skin diseases, smoking, treatment with adalimumab [2].

The triggering of inverse psoriasis as a paradoxical side effect to treatment with infliximab for Crohn’s disease has been reported in the literature. Sometimes is difficult to diagnose this paradoxical reaction at the first visit. In this case our first hypothesis were contact and seborrheic dermatitis. We suspected that the eruption was a paradoxical reaction to infliximab because it was severely itchy, resembled inverse psoriasis and was refractory to treatment with topical corticosteroids and low dose oral prednisone. So, a biopsy was performed revealing a spongiotic and psoriasiform dermatitis with some elements that suggest a drug reaction such as the presence of necrotic keratinocytes in the epidermis and eosinophils and melanophages in the papilar dermis indicating basal layer damage. Our patient denied any treatment of drugs associated with the development of psoriasis, such as beta-blockers,
lithium, antimalarials, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, tetracyclines or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents. Also, she denied symptoms of bacterial or viral infection preceding the beginning of the eruption and the results of the laboratory tests were within normal limits.

Another element that strongly suggest the role of infliximab as a trigger was the improvement of the eruption after it was stopped. The pathogenesis has not been fully understood, but it seems that imbalance between different cytokines and activated inflammatory pathways can cause the onset and/or the aggravation of these skin lesions. Regarding anti-TNF-α induced psoriasis, it has been speculated that the inhibition of TNF-α by antibody drugs may stimulate uncontrolled production IFN-γ by plasmacytoid dendritic cells, inducing the recruitment of T cells and the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL12/23, thereby inducing paradoxical reactions or psoriasis. The combination therapy with anti-TNF-α plus immunosuppressants is associated with a reduced risk of paradoxical psoriasis [1-7].

In case of development of suggestive psoriasiform lesions, a dermatology evaluation with eventual biopsy is mandatory. When patients need a maintenance therapy for the inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), a switch to a different anti-TNF-α or to an immunosuppressant, such as cyclosporine, methotrexate, could be attempted, according to the intestinal activity and the previous drug history. The suspension of the anti-TNF drug associated with the start of systemic medication for psoriasis resulted in higher cure rates: approximately 64% versus 44% when systemic medications started without suspension of the immunobiological agent. A systematic review of 222 patients with IBD treated with anti-TNF-α, with paradoxical reaction showed that most were adult women, with CD treated with infliximab. This review indicated that the psoriasiform reactions were more prevalent than the typical psoriasis [1,3,9]. With this case report, we aim to illustrate a peculiar type of psoriasiform reaction to infliximab inverse psoriasis-like. It was difficult to diagnose at first time and with the increasing use of anti-TNF-α in the treatment of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, these reactions will be more common and dermatologists should be aware of the clinical and histopathological aspects of them.
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