The Effect of Metformin Treatment on Non-Alcoholic Fatty Pancreas and Liver Disease in New-Onset Diabetes Mellitus
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Abstract

Non-Alcoholic Fatty Pancreas and Liver Disease (NAFPD and NAFLD) are often associated with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM). Our aim was to evaluate the incidence rate of NAFLD and NAFPD, the size of Pericardial Adipose Tissue (PAT) and the effect of metformin treatment on NAFLD, NAFPD and PAT in new-onset T2DM. 19 patients with new-onset T2DM and 10 subjects as control group were involved. Computed tomography and laboratory tests were performed before the beginning of metformin therapy and 4 months afterwards. The PAT and the amount of fat in the pancreas and liver was determined by the X-ray attenuation on unenhanced CT examination and compared with values for control subjects. Metabolic parameters improved significantly after the metformin therapy. The radiation absorption of the liver was significantly lower in patients with T2DM as compared to the control group (33.52±19.38 vs 53.1±8.31 HU) and significantly increased after the metformin therapy as compared to the baseline values (46.12±13.33 vs 33.52±19.38 HU). The radiation absorption of the pancreas was lower but not significant in patients with T2DM (32.76±9.55 vs 34.2±12.1 HU) and did not change significantly during the treatment (35.64±9.07 vs 32.76±9.55 HU). The size of the PAT was significantly larger in T2DM patients (2053.1±1136 vs 1223.9±312.9 mm2) but did not change significantly after the treatment (2053.1±1136 vs 2026.2±1032 mm2). Metformin therapy decreased the amount of fat in the liver parallel with the improvement of the metabolic parameters and may be beneficial for the prevention of the late consequences of NAFLD.
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Abbreviations:

NAFPD : Non-alcoholic fatty pancreas disease
NAFLD : Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease
NASH : Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis
T2DM : Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus
DM : Diabetes Mellitus
PAT : Pericardial Adipose Tissue
HbA1c : Glycosylated Hemoglobin
HOMA-IR: Homeostatic Model Assessment-Estimated Insulin Resistance
HU : Hounsfield Unit
ROI : Region of Interest
BMI : Body Mass Index
pre-DM : Pre-Diabetes Mellitus
CT : Computed Tomography

Introduction

It is a well-known fact that insulin resistance and obesity cause fatty accumulation in many organs, including the liver [Non-
Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD)] and pancreas [Non-Alcoholic Fatty Pancreas Disease (NAFPD)] [1]. The worldwide prevalence of NAFLD ranges widely from 6.3% to 33% with a median of 20%, depending on the kind of assessment methods [2-5]. There is a high prevalence of NAFLD in patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) (64-69%) [2,6-8] and dyslipidemia (20-81%) [9,10]. Obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus and dyslipidemia are risk factors for the development of NAFLD [7,8,11,12]. The incidence rate of pre-DM is as high as 93.3% in NAFLD, so pre-DM is a more important predictor of NAFLD than metabolic syndrome [13]. Moreover, it seems the male gender is presumably a further risk factor for NAFLD [2]. NAFLD may progress through steatohepatitis to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [14,15]. NAFPD is a less well-studied phenomenon. Fatty pancreas is a common ultrasound finding with increased echogenicity of the parenchyma because of fat accumulation [16]. Previous studies suggested a 16-35% prevalence of fatty pancreas in the general population [17,18]. It seems that age [19], obesity, hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia are risk factors for NAFPD [18,20-22].

NAFPD may increase the risk for the development of metabolic syndrome by causing inflammation [23], impaired pancreatic beta cell function and hyperglycemia [24]. This relationship may explain the presence of T2DM [25] in 6.9-12.6% of patients with pancreatic steatosis [17,18]. Several studies suggested that insulin resistance is associated with pancreatic fat accumulation [20-22,26], Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) [20,27] and pre-DM [21]. Higher pancreatic triglyceride content in obesity was described by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy [28], CT [29-30] or MRI [31] even before the development of T2DM [28]. It was demonstrated that obesity leads to pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma through pancreatic steatosis [23,32,33]. Evidence suggests that pancreatic steatosis has a role in T2DM, pancreatic exocrine dysfunction, acute pancreatitis [34,35], pancreatic cancer and the formation of pancreatic fistula after pancreatic surgery [36].

NAFLD and NAFPD are associated with each other because pancreatic fat is related to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and is a significant predictor of the presence of NAFLD [37]. The elevation of liver transaminases may suggest the presence of NAFLD or NASH. Ultrasonography and transient elastography are currently the most appropriate imaging modality for NAFLD screening, and liver biopsy is the “gold standard” for characterizing liver histology in patients with NAFLD [38]. In contrast to liver, no biochemical marker is available for the diagnosis of NAFPD. Furthermore, as the pancreas is a retroperitoneal organ, pancreatic biopsy is more cumbersome to perform and may be accompanied by more sampling errors and complications as compared to liver biopsy. The visualisation of the pancreas by ultrasonography is more difficult, and the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasonography in detecting NAFPD are hampered by obesity and bloating.

Above all, in pre-diabetic and T2DM patients the amount of Pericardial Adipose Tissue (PAT) is significant higher compared to the amount of PAT in normoglycemic patients [39,40]. Previous review demonstrated that beside epicardial adipose tissue PAT is another risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disease in T2DM patients [41]. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the incidence rate of NAFLD and NAFPD, the size of PAT and the effect of metformin treatment on NAFLD, NAFPD and PAT in new-onset T2DM by measuring tissue attenuation and in unenhanced CT examinations.

**Subjects and Methods**

Nineteen patients with new-onset T2DM (male: 10; female: 9; mean age: 56.38±9.71 years; Body Mass Index (BMI): 32.22±4.53 kg/m²) were involved in this prospective study. The control group comprised 10 subjects (male: 6; female: 4; mean age: 53.9±13.79 years; BMI: 30.0±4.72 kg/m²) without DM, matched for age, sex, BMI and serum lipids (Table 1), without the presence of any pancreatic, liver or cardiovascular disease or history of alcohol consumption. The diagnosis of T2DM was made in accordance with the American Diabetes Association criteria [42]. New-onset DM is defined as DM diagnosed within the last 1 month before the date of enrolment. Patients only dieted and did not receive any hypoglycemic agent before inclusion and had not history of alcohol consumption. Exclusion criteria were any pancreatic, liver and cardiovascular disease, or inherited disorders of fat metabolism in the case history. Computed Tomography (CT) and PAT in new-onset T2DM by measuring tissue attenuation and in unenhanced CT examinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Control (male: 6; female: 4)</th>
<th>T2DM (male: 10; female: 9)</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>53.9±13.79</td>
<td>56.38±9.71</td>
<td>p=0.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>30.0±4.72</td>
<td>32.22±4.53</td>
<td>p=0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum triglyceride (mg/dl)</td>
<td>5.06±0.93</td>
<td>5.55±1.71</td>
<td>p=0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data expressed as mean values ± standard deviation. T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus. BMI: body mass index.</td>
<td>3.29±2.74</td>
<td>3.02±1.47</td>
<td>p=0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Age, BMI, cholesterol and triglyceride levels in patients with T2DM and control subjects.
tomography and laboratory tests were performed as a measurement for serum triglyceride, cholesterol and insulin levels, fasting blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), while Homeostatic Model Assessment-Estimated Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated before the beginning of 1000 mg metformin twice daily therapy and 4 months afterwards.

The size of PAT and the amount of fat in the pancreas and liver was determined by X-ray attenuation rate on unenhanced CT examination [Hounsfield unit (HU)]. Each Region of Interest (ROI) in the liver, pancreas and spleen was a round area of approximately 1.0 cm$^2$ as a marker of the degree of attenuation [43]. In the case of PAT, measurements were performed in one dedicated slice at the junction of vena cava inferior and right atrium. ROIs were identified in Segment VII of the liver, along the diaphragmatic surface of the spleen and in the body of the pancreas. Mean density was calculated and General Electric Centricity PACS software was used to determine the values. NAFLD and NAFPD were defined when the liver-to-spleen or the pancreas-to-spleen attenuation ratio was <1 [44, 45]. All participants provided their written informed consent. The study protocol was in full accordance with the most recent revisions of the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the ethics committee at the University of Szeged. Paired-samples and 2 samples t-tests were used to describe the differences between the laboratory values and X-ray attenuation rate at the beginning of metformin therapy and 4 months afterwards. Data were processed with SPSS 22.0 (Armonk, New York, USA) and a level of $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Fasting blood glucose, HbA1c, serum insulin and HOMA-IR decreased significantly after the metformin therapy as compared to the baseline values (Table 2). However, serum triglyceride and BMI did not change. In total, 6 patients (31.6%) received statin therapy for elevated cholesterol and triglyceride levels. Nevertheless, the serum cholesterol level decreased significantly in those patients who did not receive statin therapy in addition to the metformin therapy ($p=0.016$) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients with NAFLD</th>
<th>Patients without NAFLD</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.54±1.14</td>
<td>5.78±0.28</td>
<td>$p=0.002$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum triglyceride (mg/dl)</td>
<td>2.77±1.26</td>
<td>2.88±1.54</td>
<td>$p=0.881$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>32.2±1.43</td>
<td>29.19±5.62</td>
<td>$p=0.163$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase (U/l)</td>
<td>20.88±5.30</td>
<td>16.50±3.00</td>
<td>$p=0.100$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase (U/l)</td>
<td>26.50±9.62</td>
<td>19.50±6.45</td>
<td>$p=0.171$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (U/l)</td>
<td>79.25±21.02</td>
<td>83.50±24.35</td>
<td>$p=0.777$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma glutamyltransferase (U/l)</td>
<td>42.38±17.66</td>
<td>46.25±25.61</td>
<td>$p=0.896$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (μmol/l)</td>
<td>7.51±1.95</td>
<td>5.85±2.54</td>
<td>$p=0.303$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting blood glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>11.48±4.97</td>
<td>12.25±9.2</td>
<td>$p=0.782$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>9.25±2.3</td>
<td>9.2±2.8</td>
<td>$p=0.944$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>19.15±9.77</td>
<td>11.42±9.48</td>
<td>$p=0.169$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as mean value ± standard deviation. NAFLD: non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. BMI: body mass index.

Table 3: The cholesterol, triglyceride, BMI, fasting blood glucose, HbA1c and liver enzyme values in patients with and without NAFLD.
The radiation absorption of the liver was significantly lower in patients with T2DM as compared to the control group [33.52±19.38 HU versus 53.1±8.31 HU (p=0.001)] and significantly increased after the metformin therapy as compared to the baseline values [33.52±19.38 HU versus 46.12±13.33 HU (p=0.039)] (Figure 1). Only 8 patients (42.1%) had NAFLD after the 4-month metformin therapy according to the diagnostic criteria.

The size of the PAT was significantly larger in patients with T2DM as compared to the control group [2053.1±1136 versus 1223.9±312.9 mm² (p=0.01)] and did not change significantly after the metformin treatment [2053.1±1136 versus 2026.2±1032 mm² (p=0.86)] (Figure 3). The present study has demonstrated that NAFLD and NAFPD is already present in patients with new-onset diabetes. Metformin therapy effectively decreased the amount of fat in the liver, but not in the pancreas. PAT is larger in new-onset T2DM as compared to the control group and it is not influenced by metformin treatment.

Discussion

NAFLD is the most common liver disorder worldwide and contributes significantly to overall mortality, as well as to cardiovascular and liver-related mortality in particular. The main risk factor is T2DM; NAFLD can be demonstrated in 64-69% of type 2 diabetic patients [2,6-8]. It is likely that NAFLD is the hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome, where insulin resistance is the main risk factor [46]. On the other hand, NAFLD may progress to its inflammatory complication, NASH. The high incidence of NASH in patients with T2DM leads to further complications, such as liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [14,15]. Given the expected rise in the prevalence of T2DM, NAFLD is projected to be the principal aetiology for liver transplantation within the next decade [47]. Furthermore, NAFLD is thought to be an independent determinant of cardiovascular disease [48].

PAT plays a role in myocardial energy metabolism through the connection with the coronary arteries and the myocardium [49]. Increased pericardial fat volume was demonstrated as a risk factor for coronary artery disease [50] and the development of cardiovascular disease in T2DM patients [41]. Lozzo found increased PAT volume in T2DM patients [51]. Previous study
evaluated the effect of pioglitazone and metformin therapy on PAT resulting in an increased pericardial fat volume during the 24-week long pioglitazone treatment [52]. However, metformin did not change significantly the size of PAT [52]. Metabolic syndrome and obesity are commonly associated with NAFLD. However, the links between NAFLD and insulin resistance and T2DM are not fully understood [47]. NAFLD can predict the incidence of diabetes independently of traditional risk factors, including obesity, peripheral insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome [53]. Moreover, diabetes promotes or worsens hepatic steatosis, thus fueling a vicious cycle.

The T2DM patient cohort was selected to study the effect of insulin resistance on the prevalence of NAFLD. The control subjects did not have DM, the presence of any pancreatic, liver or cardiovascular disease or history of alcohol consumption, but were matched for age, sex, BMI and serum lipids. Therefore, the presence of T2DM was the only variable in our study that could influence the prevalence of NAFLD. Patients with new-onset DM diagnosed within one month, and without alcohol consumption, any pancreatic, liver and cardiovascular disease, or inherited disorders of fat metabolism in the case history were enrolled in our prospective study:

• To analyse the effect of early-phase insulin resistance on the development of NAFLD and NAFPD
• To study patients without antidiabetic medication; and
• To analyse the effect of newly-introduced metformin therapy on the degree of fat content in the liver, pancreas and on the size of PAT.

69% and 62% of T2DM patients had NAFLD defined by ultrasound in two studies, respectively [7, 8], and in the latter study 87% of the NAFLD cases were confirmed histologically. However, these studies had a cross-sectional design that included diabetic patients with variable disease length. We involved new-onset DM patients diagnosed within 1 month before the time of enrolment in our study. It was demonstrated that insulin resistance is already present at least 5 years before overt diabetes in populations with a high prevalence of T2DM [54]. 68.4% of newly diagnosed diabetic patients in our study had NAFLD. This means that NAFLD is already present in the early phase of DM. The high prevalence of NAFLD in our study can be explained by the fact that our patients had several risk factors. They were overweight and had elevated cholesterol and triglyceride levels. However, the BMI, serum cholesterol and triglyceride levels were also above the norm in the control group, and there were no significant differences between the control group and the DM group. In contrast, only 10% of the control subjects had NAFLD. Furthermore, the radiation absorption of the liver was significantly lower, indicating an increased amount of fat in the liver in patients with T2DM as compared to the control group. This increased amount of fat, therefore, can be attributed to insulin resistance after excluding other risk factors. The high prevalence of NAFLD can also be explained by the fact that we defined fatty liver by measuring radiation absorption on computed tomography, which is more sensitive, specific and operator-independent as compared to ultrasound.

NAFPD has been poorly investigated as compared to NAFLD, although interest is increasing among researchers. Reports on the relationship between NAFPD and β-cell function are inconsistent, however. Some studies indicate that pancreatic lipid content is negatively associated with insulin secretion in nondiabetic subjects [55] or individuals with prediabetes [56], while others suggest that there is no relationship between β-cell function and pancreatic fat in prediabetic [57] or diabetic subjects [55]. Pancreatic fat content can be studied with multiple diagnostic modalities. A histological examination requires a pancreatic biopsy, but this is invasive and there are complications associated with it. Ultrasonography is cheap and easily available, but a relatively insensitive measure of pancreatic fat content. More recently, expensive MRI techniques have been utilized for the assessment of pancreatic fat deposition. A native CT scan was employed in our study to measure the amount of pancreatic steatosis using radiation absorption correlated to the spleen. Diabetic patients have been demonstrated to have higher pancreatic fat content measured by magnetic resonance spectroscopy [55,58] and dual-echo magnetic resonance chemical shift imaging [59]. In contrast, Saisho et al. found that pancreatic fat content was not significantly increased in T2DM [60]. 78.9% of new-onset DM patients were diagnosed as having NAFPD based on the diagnostic criteria, while no NAFPD was detected in the control population in our study.

Since the control group was matched for age, sex, BMI and serum lipids with the T2DM group, NAFPD may be a consequence of insulin resistance. Newly (<1 month) diagnosed T2DM patients were enrolled in the study to assess the effect of metformin on NAFLD and NAFPD. Metformin is the first-line agent for the treatment of diabetes and is the most popular antidiabetic agent. The effects of metformin on NAFLD have been evaluated in several studies, some of them showing a beneficial effect on aminotransferase levels or liver histological alterations [2,61-63]. No study has ever evaluated the effect of metformin on hepatic fat content measured by tissue attenuation on unenhanced CT examination. Four-month-long metformin treatment significantly reduced fat content in the liver in our study. Metformin also improved glycemic control and insulin resistance measured by HOMA-IR, and reduced serum cholesterol level, which can be partly attributed to its beneficial effect. However, metformin therapy reduced pancreatic fat content and the size of PAT but not significantly. NAFLD and NAFPD can be detected in the majority of new-onset T2DM patients. Metformin therapy decreased the amount of fat in liver parallel with the improvement of the metabolic parameters, but not in the pancreas and did not
reduce the size of PAT. Metformin therapy started early in the course of diabetes may be beneficial for the prevention of the late consequences of NAFLD.
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