

Review Article

Targeting the membrane attack complex (MAC)-mediated arm of complement for the diagnosis and treatment of Osteoarthritis

Anwen S Williams*

¹Division of Infection and Immunity (Section of Rheumatology), Cardiff University School of Medicine, Heath Park Campus, Cardiff, United Kingdom CF14 4XN

***Corresponding author:** Anwen S Williams, Division of Infection and Immunity (Section of Rheumatology), Cardiff University School of Medicine, Heath Park Campus, Cardiff, United Kingdom CF14 4XN, Tel: +44(0)2920687088; Fax +44(0)2920687303; Email: WilliamsAS@cardiff.ac.uk

Citation: Williams AS (2016) Targeting the membrane attack complex (MAC)-mediated arm of complement for the diagnosis and treatment of Osteoarthritis. J Orthop Res Ther 2016; JORT119. DOI: 10.29011/JORT-119.000019

Received Date: 28 November, 2016; **Accepted Date:** 29 November, 2016; **Published Date:** 02 December, 2016

Introduction

Functionally, the complement system serves to protect the host from pathogens. It is an integral component of the innate immune system that is inextricably linked with the adaptive immune system [1]. Normally the complement system is tightly regulated so that host cells are protected against off-target effects or tissue damage whilst being exposed to a pathogenic organism [2]. The complement system comprises more than 30 plasma and membrane-bound proteins. It can be activated through three pathways: the classical, the alternative and the lectin pathways. Uncontrolled activation of the complement pathway contributes to the pathogenesis of several autoimmune and inflammatory conditions that include musculoskeletal diseases like Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) and Osteoarthritis (OA). A complex cytokine network, adipokines, abnormal metabolites, acute phase reactants and the complement system regulate the propagation and progression of inflammation-associated synovitis, cartilage catabolism and bone destruction and consequently play major roles in arthritis pathophysiology. Synovial tissues (e.g., cartilage and bone) are frequently degraded in diseases like RA and OA because the function of the complement system is compromised [3]. Multiple lines of evidence suggest that low-grade articular inflammation contributes to OA progression. However, it is still unclear whether morphological changes that occur in the OA synovium are primarily due to a systemic immune response or occur secondarily to cartilage degradation and lesions of the subchondral bone. Nevertheless, several components of the complement system are aberrantly expressed in the synovial fluid of patients with OA, including C1s and C4A (classical pathway), factor B (alternative pathway), C3 and C5 (central component of classical and alternative pathways) and C5, C7, and C9 (terminal complement pathway and membrane attack complex) [4]. The three distinct activation pathways of the complement system converge at the formation of the C3 and C5 convertases (enzymes that mediate

activation of the C5a anaphylatoxin) and at the terminal complement pathway that ends by the formation of the Membrane Attack Complex (MAC). CD59 is unique, it is the only complement regulator that inhibits MAC assembly thereby preventing the formation of a lytic pore. While CD59 is normally attached to the cell surface via a Glycosyl Phosphatidyl Inositol (GPI) anchor, it also exists in a number of soluble forms (e.g., in saliva, amniotic fluid and urine) [5]. CD59 (protectin) is expressed on the surface of almost all cells (e.g., epithelial, endothelial and hematopoietic cells) and could impact upon homeostasis in synovial tissues [6,7]. In mice, CD59a performs these functions [8]. CD59a is also expressed in almost all tissues [9]. The physiological impact of MAC activation upon cartilage and bone has been largely neglected. However, recent studies in mice identified MAC as a potential regulator of cartilage degeneration and osteophyte formation in inflammation-induced models of osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and age-related bone homeostasis [4,10,11]. In each case tissue injury was increased in the absence of CD59a. This editorial highlights potentially important roles for MAC in the initiation and propagation of osteoarthritis-associated disease phenotypes.

Clinical management of osteoarthritis is largely palliative and there is an ever-growing need for an effective disease-modifying treatment. The control of disease progression may rely on the initiation of drug intervention strategies at an early stage in the development of osteoarthritis. Innovative advancements in quantitative imaging techniques for diagnostic application in the setting of osteoarthritis may be needed to facilitate the attainment of this goal. A greater appreciation of the role of MAC and CD59 in the aetiology of osteoarthritis could dramatically help advancement in the diagnosis and treatment of degenerative joint disease.

MAC is strongly expressed in the synovial joint during osteoarthritis

Studies in humans and rodents have charted the progression of osteoarthritis in terms of inflammation, the breakdown of the articular cartilage and aberrant remodelling of the underlying bone. These principle hallmarks of osteoarthritis are triggered by multiple factors (e.g., ageing, trauma, obesity and genetics). Modulation of the underlying processes (e.g., age-related tissue degeneration and adipose tissue homeostasis and bone remodelling) by the terminal pathway of the complement system [4,12-14] may be an important when considering the numerous options for drug development. There is little clarity concerning the precipitating and underpinning molecular mechanisms that govern the initiation and progression of osteoarthritis. Therefore, the challenge of discovering a disease-modifying drug for treating osteoarthritis remains. The presence of MAC (comprising the complement effector C5b-9) was consistently observed, over two decades ago, in synovium and cartilage from individuals with end-stage osteoarthritis by immunohistochemical analysis [15-17]. More recently, proteomic results and ELISA analysis showed that levels of C5b-9 were significantly higher in synovial fluids from individuals with early-stage osteoarthritis than synovial fluids from healthy individuals supporting the notion that terminal complement components occur in synovial joints early in the course of osteoarthritis and persists during the late phases of osteoarthritis [4]. Protein expression of CD59 was also shown on chondrocytes and in the synovial membrane of tissue donors with osteoarthritis [4,18,19]. Cells regulate tissue degeneration during osteoarthritis therefore retain their ability to inhibit of MAC formation, whether this is at the same rate as normal tissues remains to be seen.

Clinical management of OA is largely palliative; opioids, non-steroidal inflammatory drugs and steroid injections are used conventionally to control arthritis-associated pain. Drug design is being facilitated by the increasingly detailed structural understanding of the molecules involved in the complement system and the role of complement in modulating inflammatory and degenerative diseases [18,20]. There exists a strong rationale for targeting the complement system as a disease-modifying therapy for osteoarthritis.

Targeting MAC at sites of complement activation for diagnostic imaging during osteoarthritis

Over the past two decades there has been a near universal focus on resynchronizing complement by targeting the systemic compartment for therapy. The effects of pathogenic dysregulation of complement almost exclusively occur in the local tissue environment where injury and/or impaired function manifest. For diagnosis of diseases such as osteoarthritis there is an opportunity to develop novel molecular imaging strategies by using radiological probes that recognize tissue-bound complement components

at sites of pathology. Currently, patient history accompanied by clinical findings from X-rays, Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) scans are commonly used to diagnose osteoarthritis. X-ray and CT typically detect joint space narrowing, morphological changes in the bone and ectopically formed calcified tissues. These are recognizable changes indicative of late stage of osteoarthritis progression. Molecular imaging techniques such as immune-positron emission tomography (Immuno-PET) may offer significant advantage beyond the available imaging tools for early diagnosis of osteoarthritis-associated pathology when used to detect complement activation and/or complement mediated damage [21,22]. Antibodies that do not interfere with the wide range of physiologic functions of the complement system may be most appropriate for clinical utility.

Breakdown of the articular cartilage with remodelling of the underlying bone is the hallmark of OA. Cartilage is avascular and aneural and contains just one cell type, the chondrocyte. Chondrocytes are responsible for maintaining homeostatic cartilage turnover by responding to changes in the mechanical and inflammatory environment within the joint. The complement cascade is crucial to the pathogenesis of OA in that complement activation (irrespective of the trigger) results in the formation of MAC on chondrocytes, which in turn either kills the cells or causes them to produce matrix-degrading enzymes and inflammatory mediators. This provides a rationale for targeting MAC as a disease-modifying therapy for OA because all these effectors promote joint pathology. Specific pharmacological agents aimed at the resynchronization of the terminal complement pathway for the treatment of OA may be a realistic future vision. The success of any approach would likely be contingent upon targeted local inhibition of the C5b-9 complex or MAC within the joint space rather than systemic blockade that would compromise the patient's immune defence against invading pathogens. There are currently only two anti-complement drugs on the market (Eculizumab and C1-INH). However, many more are being developed for a broad spectrum of diseases that include infectious, inflammatory, degenerative, traumatic and neoplastic disorders. The history, current landscape and future directions for anti-complement therapies was reviewed by Morgan and Harris in 2015 [21].

References

1. Merle NS, Noe R, Halbwachs-Mecarelli L, Freméaux-Bacchi V, Roumenina LT (2015) Complement System Part II: Role in Immunity. *Front Immunol* 6: 257.
2. Meri S (2016) Self-nonself discrimination by the complement system. *FEBS Lett* 590: 2418-2434.
3. Bajic G, Degn SE, Thiel S, Andersen GR (2015) Complement activation, regulation, and molecular basis for complement-related diseases. *EMBO J* 34: 2735-2757.

4. Wang Q, Rozelle AL, Lepus CM, Scanzello CR, Song JJ, et al. (2011) Identification of a central role for complement in osteoarthritis. *Nat Med* 17: 1674-1679.
5. Rudd PM, Morgan BP, Wormald MR, Harvey DJ, van den Berg CW, et al. (1997) The glycosylation of the complement regulatory protein, human erythrocyte CD59. *J Biol Chem* 272: 7229-7244.
6. Davies A, Simmons DL, Hale G, Harrison RA, Tighe H, et al. (1989) CD59, an LY-6-like protein expressed in human lymphoid cells, regulates the action of the complement membrane attack complex on homologous cells. *J Exp Med* 170: 637-654.
7. Meri S, Waldmann H, Lachmann PJ (1991) Distribution of protectin (CD59) a complement membrane attack inhibitor in normal human tissues. *Lab Invest* 65: 532-537.
8. Baalasubramanian S, Harris CL, Donev RM, Mizuno M, Omidvar N, et al. (2004) CD59a is the primary regulator of membrane attack complex assembly in the mouse. *J Immunol* 173: 3684-3692.
9. Qin X, Miwa T, Aktas H, Gao M, Lee C, et al. (2001) Genomic structure, functional comparison, and tissue distribution of mouse Cd59a and Cd59b. *Mamm Genome* 12: 582-589.
10. Bloom AC, Collins FL, Van't Hof RJ, Ryan ES, Jones E, et al. (2016) Deletion of the membrane complement inhibitor CD59a drives age and gender-dependent alterations to bone phenotype in mice. *Bone* 84: 253-261.
11. Williams AS, Mizuno M, Richards PJ, Holt DS, Morgan BP (2004) Deletion of the gene encoding CD59a in mice increases disease severity in a murine model of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 50: 3035-3044.
12. Chirco KR, Tucker BA, Stone EM, Mullins RF (2016) Selective accumulation of the complement membrane attack complex in aging choriocapillaris. *Exp Eye Res* 146: 393-397.
13. McGeer EG, Klegeris A, McGeer PL (2005) Inflammation, the complement system and the diseases of aging. *Neurobiol Aging* 26 Suppl 1: 94-97.
14. Blogowski W, Budkowska M, Salata D, Serwin K, Dolegowska B, et al. (2013) Clinical analysis of selected complement-derived molecules in human adipose tissue. *J Transl Med* 11: 11.
15. Kemp PA, Spragg JH, Brown JC, Morgan BP, Gunn CA, et al. (1992) Immunohistochemical determination of complement activation in joint tissues of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis using neoantigen-specific monoclonal antibodies. *J Clin Lab Immunol* 37: 147-162.
16. Corvetta A, Pomponio G, Rinaldi N, Luchetti MM, Di Loreto C, et al. (1992) Terminal complement complex in synovial tissue from patients affected by rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis and acute joint trauma. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 10: 433-438.
17. Guc D, Gulati P, Lemercier C, Lappin D, Birnie GD, et al. (1993) Expression of the components and regulatory proteins of the alternative complement pathway and the membrane attack complex in normal and diseased synovium. *Rheumatol Int* 13: 139-146.
18. Badendick J, Godkin O, Kohl B, Meier C, Jagielski M, et al. (2016) Macroscopic, Histological, and In Vitro Characterization of Nonosteoarthritic Versus Osteoarthritic Hip Joint Cartilage. *Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord* 9: 65-74.
19. Kontinen YT, Ceponis A, Meri S, Vuorikoski A, Kortekangas P, et al. (1996) Complement in acute and chronic arthritides: assessment of C3c, C9, and protectin (CD59) in synovial membrane. *Ann Rheum Dis* 55: 888-894.
20. Morgan BP and Harris CL (2015) Complement, a target for therapy in inflammatory and degenerative diseases. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 14: 857-877.
21. Knight JC, Paisey SJ, Dabkowski AM, Marculescu C, Williams AS, et al. (2016) Scaling-down antibody radiolabeling reactions with zirconium-89. *Dalton Transactions* 45: 6343-6347.
22. Jauw YW, Menke-van der, Houven van Oordt CW, Hoekstra OS, Hendrikse NH, et al. (2016) Immuno-Positron Emission Tomography with Zirconium-89-Labeled Monoclonal Antibodies in Oncology: What Can We Learn from Initial Clinical Trials? *Front Pharmacol* 7: 131.